

УДК 524.83

© Хаггаг С., Рамадан М., 2025

## ПРИМЕНЕНИЕ ОПТИМАЛЬНОГО УПРАВЛЕНИЯ ДЛЯ ПОСТРОЕНИЯ КОСМОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ МОДЕЛЕЙ В ГЕОМЕТРИИ ЛИРЫ

Хаггаг С.<sup>a,1</sup>, Рамадан М.<sup>b,c,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Кафедра математических и естественных наук, Египетско-российский университет, Каир 11829, Египет.

<sup>b</sup> Кафедра математики, Колледж естественных и гуманитарных наук, Университет принца Саттама бин Абдул-Азиза, Эль-Хардж, Саудовская Аравия.

<sup>c</sup> Кафедра математики и информатики, Факультет естественных наук, Университет Порт-Саида, Египет.

Рассмотрена задача построения космологических моделей в геометрии Лиры. Предложено использование принципов оптимального управления для построения замкнутой космологической модели. Сформулирована задача оптимального управления, где подлежащей максимизации целевой функцией является время до прекращения сжатия. Для поставленной задачи получены условия оптимальности и частное решение.

*Ключевые слова:* космологические модели, уравнения Эйнштейна, многообразие Лиры, оптимальное управление.

## AN OPTIMAL CONTROL APPROACH FOR COSMOLOGICAL MODELS IN LYRA'S GEOMETRY

Haggag S.<sup>a,1</sup>, Ramadan M.<sup>b,c,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematical and Natural Sciences; The Egyptian Russian University, Cairo 11829, Egypt.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences and Humanities, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Alkharj, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Port-Said University, Egypt.

The problem of constructing cosmological models within the framework of Lyra's geometry is considered. An optimal control approach is proposed for constructing a closed cosmological model. An optimal control problem is formulated, whereby the objective function, to be maximized, is the time to stop contraction. Optimality conditions are derived and a partial solution is obtained.

*Keywords:* cosmological models, Einstein's equations, Lyra's manifold, optimal control.

PACS: 98.80.Cq

DOI: 10.17238/issn2226-8812.2025.2.118-123

### Introduction

In 1918, Weyl [1] proposed a more comprehensive theory extending Einstein's theory, integrating gravitation and electromagnetism into geometric descriptions. Following this, Lyra [2] introduced a modification to Riemannian geometry by incorporating a gauge function into the structure-less manifold,

---

<sup>1</sup>E-mail: salah-haggag@eru.edu.eg

<sup>2</sup>E-mail: motaz\_ramadan@sci.psu.edu.eg

resulting in the natural emergence of the cosmological constant from the geometry. Subsequently, Sen [3] and Sen and Dunn [4] explored static solutions with finite density in Lyra's modified Riemannian geometry, akin to the static Einstein model. Many researchers have further investigated cosmological models rooted in Lyra's geometry [5–13].

The Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) models of the Universe are characterized by the metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a^2(t) \left[ \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) \right]. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $a(t)$  represents the scale factor, and  $k$  can take values  $-1, 0$ , or  $1$ , corresponding to open, flat, and closed Universes respectively. The variables  $r, \theta$  and  $\varphi$  denote spherical polar coordinates, and  $t$  represents cosmic time. The field equations (with  $c = G = 1$ ) based on Lyra's geometry, as obtained by Sen [3], in the normal gauge are given by

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}R + \frac{3}{2}\phi_i\phi_j - \frac{3}{4}g_{ij}\phi_k\phi^k = -8\pi T_{ij}, \quad (2)$$

where  $g_{ij}$  and  $R_{ij}$  are, respectively, the metric and Ricci tensors,  $\phi_j = (0, 0, 0, \beta(t))$  is the time-like displacement vector.  $T_{ij}$  is the energy momentum tensor which, assuming a perfect fluid, is given by

$$T_{ij} = -pg_{ij} + (p + \rho)U_i U_j, \quad (3)$$

where  $p$  and  $\rho$  are, respectively, the fluid pressure and density, and  $U_i = (0, 0, 0, 1)$  is the fluid velocity vector.

For the FRW metric, the field equations reduce to

$$3H^2 = \frac{3\beta^2}{4} - \frac{3k}{a^2} + 8\pi\rho, \quad (4)$$

$$2\dot{H} = -3H^2 - \frac{3\beta^2}{4} - \frac{k}{a^2} - 8\pi p, \quad (5)$$

where an overdot denotes a derivative with respect to time  $t$ , and  $H(t)$  is the Hubble parameter defined by

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}. \quad (6)$$

The equation of state is given by

$$p = \alpha\rho, \quad \alpha = \text{Const}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1. \quad (7)$$

Taking the time derivative of Eqs.(4),(5) and using Eqs.(6),(7), we obtain

$$6H\dot{H} = \frac{3}{2}\beta\dot{\beta} + \frac{6k}{a^2}H + 8\pi\dot{\rho}, \quad (8)$$

$$2\ddot{H} + 6H\dot{H} = \frac{-3}{2}\beta\dot{\beta} + \frac{2k}{a^2}H - 8\pi\alpha\dot{\rho}. \quad (9)$$

Several authors have made specific assumptions about  $\rho(t)$  or  $a(t)$  or  $\beta(t)$  to obtain solutions for Einstein's modified field equations for the Friedmann metric within the context of Lyra's geometry. For instance, Beesham [14] assumed that the energy density of the universe is always equal to its critical value ( $\rho = 3\dot{a}^2/a^2$ ) and consequently  $\beta^2 = 4k/a^2$ ; Pradhan, Shahi, and Singh [15] obtained solutions using the deceleration parameter and considered three cases:  $a(t) = k_2 e^{k_1 t}$ ,  $a(t) = \alpha t^2 + \alpha_2 t + \alpha_3$  and  $a(t) = M \sin(Bt) + N \cos(Bt) + B_1$  (where  $k_1, k_2, \alpha, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, M, N, B$  and  $B_1$  are constants); Singh [16] assumed that  $\beta^2 = \lambda H^2$  (where  $\lambda$  is a positive constant); Darabi [17] assumed that  $\beta(t)$  and  $\rho(t)$  are independent quantities with no interaction, yielding  $\beta = \beta_0(a_0/a)^3$ , (where  $a_0$  and  $\beta_0$  are the scale factor and the displacement vector field corresponding to the Einstein static universe). Desikan [12] made the assumption  $\beta^2(t) = \beta_0 H^2$  (where  $\beta_0$  is a constant) and determined the conditions that govern whether a matter-dominated, flat universe undergoes decelerated or accelerated expansions.

In this study, instead of relying on arbitrary assumptions, we suggest an optimal control approach for constructing cosmological models. The literature on optimal control is extensive [18–21]. Some applications of optimal control to relativistic astrophysics and cosmology are reviewed in [22] and [23]. For a brief outline of optimal control problems see [24].

The structure of this paper is outlined as follows. In Section 1, we formulate an optimal control problem for a closed cosmological model. In Section 2 we present the optimality conditions. In Section 3, we derive a partial solution and describe the work in progress to obtain a complete solution. The paper ends with a concise conclusion.

## 1. An Optimal Control Problem

We consider a closed cosmological model,  $k = 1$ , where the universe expands then contracts. It is interesting to find a universe with maximum time  $T$  to stop contraction, namely to reach  $\dot{a} = 0$ .

For  $k = 1$ , Eqs. (8) and (9) lead to

$$2\ddot{H} + 6H\dot{H}(1 + \alpha) = -\frac{3}{2}\beta\dot{\beta}(1 - \alpha) + \frac{2}{a^2}H(1 + 3\alpha), \quad (10)$$

which can be put in the form

$$\dot{\beta} = \frac{-2}{3\beta(1 - \alpha)} \left[ 2\ddot{H} + 6H\dot{H}(1 + \alpha) - \frac{2}{a^2}H(1 + 3\alpha) \right]. \quad (11)$$

Introducing new variables

$$w := \dot{H}, \quad u := \dot{w} = \ddot{H}, \quad -1 \leq u \leq 1, \quad (12)$$

we take  $\beta(t)$ ,  $a(t)$ ,  $H(t)$  and  $w(t)$  as state functions, and  $u(t)$  as the control function. Then the optimal control problem is to determine the control function  $u(t)$  that maximizes the objective function  $T$ , namely

$$\max_{-1 \leq u \leq 1} T = \int_0^T dt, \quad (13)$$

subject to the constraints

$$\dot{\beta} = \frac{-2}{3\beta(1 - \alpha)} \left[ 2u + 6Hw(1 + \alpha) - \frac{2}{a^2}H(1 + 3\alpha) \right], \quad \beta(0) = \beta_0, \quad (14)$$

$$\dot{a} = aH, \quad a(0) = a_0, \quad (15)$$

$$\dot{H} = w, \quad H(T) = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\dot{w} = u, \quad w(0) = w_0, \quad (17)$$

where  $\beta_0$ ,  $a_0$  and  $w_0$  are constants.

## 2. Optimality Conditions

The Hamiltonian for the system above is given by

$$S = 1 + \frac{-2}{3\beta(1 - \alpha)} \left[ 2u + 6Hw(1 + \alpha) - \frac{2}{a^2}H(1 + 3\alpha) \right] \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 aH + \lambda_3 w + \lambda_4 u, \quad (18)$$

where  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i = 1 - 4$ , are the co-state functions corresponding, respectively, to the state functions  $\beta(t)$ ,  $a(t)$ ,  $H(t)$  and  $w(t)$ .

According to Pontryagin's maximum principle,  $u$  should maximize  $S$ . Besides, the co-state functions should satisfy the adjoint equations

$$\dot{\lambda}_1 = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta} = \frac{-2}{3\beta^2(1-\alpha)} \left[ 2u + 6Hw(1+\alpha) - \frac{2}{a^2}H(1+3\alpha) \right] \lambda_1, \quad \lambda_1(T) = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_2 = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial a} = \frac{8(1+3\alpha)}{3\beta(1-\alpha)a^3} H\lambda_1 - H\lambda_2, \quad \lambda_2(T) = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_3 = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial H} = \frac{-2}{3\beta(1-\alpha)} \left[ \frac{2}{a^2}(1+3\alpha) - 6(1+\alpha)w \right] \lambda_1 - a\lambda_2, \quad \lambda_3(0) = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\dot{\lambda}_4 = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial w} = \frac{4(1+\alpha)}{\beta(1-\alpha)} H\lambda_1 - \lambda_3. \quad \lambda_4(T) = 0. \quad (22)$$

Since the final time is not fixed (free), we have  $S(T) = 0$ , and thus

$$1 + w(T)\lambda_3(T) = 0. \quad (23)$$

### 3. Towards The Optimal Solution

We observe that the Hamiltonian  $S(t)$  is linear in  $u(t)$ , so its maximum occurs at either boundary of  $u$  depending on the sign of the factor

$$m = \frac{-4}{3\beta(1-\alpha)} \lambda_1 + \lambda_4, \quad (24)$$

Therefore,  $S$  is maximized with respect to  $u$  by taking  $u = +1$  for  $m < 0$  and  $u = -1$  for  $m > 0$ . When  $m$  changes sign,  $u$  switches to the other boundary, and this optimal control is termed bang-bang control [21]. The number of switches between boundaries will depend on the number of sign changes in  $m$ .

With  $u = \pm 1$ , Eq. (17) integrates to  $w = \pm t + w_0$ . Then, we find

$$H(t) = \pm \frac{1}{2}t^2 + w_0t + H_0, \quad (25)$$

where  $H_0$  is a constant to be determined.

Then, we obtain

$$a(t) = a_0 \exp \left[ \pm \frac{1}{6}t^3 + \frac{1}{2}w_0t^2 + H_0t \right]. \quad (26)$$

From Eqs. (4) and (5) with  $k = 1$ , we obtain

$$\beta^2 = \frac{-4}{3(1-\alpha)} \left( 2\dot{H} + 3H^2(1+\alpha) + \frac{1}{a^2}(1+3\alpha) \right). \quad (27)$$

We can derive formulas for  $\beta^2$  and  $\rho$  from Eqs. (27) and (4) respectively using Eqs. (25) and (26). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^2 &= \frac{-8}{3(1-\alpha)} \left\{ w_0 \pm t + \frac{3}{8} (\pm t^2 + 2w_0t + 2H_0)^2 (1+\alpha) + \frac{(1+3\alpha)}{2a_0^2} \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \exp \left[ \frac{-1}{3} (\pm t^3 + 3w_0t^2 + 6H_0t) \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{1}{24\pi a_0^2(1-\alpha)^2} \left\{ 9(1-\alpha)^2 \exp \left[ \frac{-1}{3} (\pm t^3 + 3w_0t^2 + 6H_0t) \right] \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{9a_0^2(1-\alpha)^2}{4} (\pm t^2 + 2w_0t + 2H_0)^2 \\ &\quad - 16a_0^2 \left( \pm t + w_0 + \frac{3(1+\alpha)}{8} (\pm t^2 + 2w_0t + 2H_0)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2a_0^2}(1+3\alpha) \exp \left[ \frac{-1}{3} (\pm t^3 + 3w_0t^2 + 6H_0t) \right] \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Work is in progress to obtain a complete solution. We need to solve the adjoint equations and determine the integration constants. Then, the factor  $m$  determines the behaviour of the control  $u$ , which, in turn, completely determines the state functions. We can, then, obtain a formula for the maximum time.

## Conclusion

We formulated a cosmological model within the framework of Lyra's modified Riemannian geometry, employing an optimal control approach. By applying Pontryagin's maximum principle, we derived a solution, allowing for the determination of the time-dependent displacement field  $\beta(t)$  and the energy density  $\rho(t)$  for a closed FRW model. This approach offers a framework that can be extended to explore other cosmological and astrophysical models, particularly in scenarios where the governing equations are underdetermined. The proposed approach provides a deeper understanding of cosmic dynamics under alternative geometric structures.

## Список литературы/References

1. Weyl H., Gravitation and Electricity. *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss., Berlin*, 1918, pp. 465-480.
2. Lyra G., Uber-eine Modifikation der Riemannschen Geometrie. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 1951, vol. 54, pp.52-64. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01175135>.
3. Sen D.K., A Static Cosmological Model. *Zeitschrift fur Physik*, 1957, vol. 149, pp. 311-323. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01333146>.
4. Sen D.K., Dunn K.A., A Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation in a Modified Riemannian Manifold. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 1971, vol. 12, pp. 578-586. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665623>.
5. Halford W.D., Scalar-Tensor Theory of Gravitation in a Lyra Manifold. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 1972, vol. 13, pp. 1699-1703. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665894>.
6. Bhamra K.S., A Cosmological Model of Class One in Lyra's Manifold. *Australian Journal of Physics*, 1974, vol. 27, pp. 541-547. <https://doi.org/10.1071/PH740541>.
7. Kalyanshetti S.B. and Waghmode B.B., A Static Cosmological Model in Einstein-Cartan Theory. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 1982, vol. 14, pp. 823-830. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00756799>.
8. Pradhan A. and Yadav V.K., Bulk Viscous FRW Cosmology in Lyra Geometry. *International Journal of Modern Physics D*, 2001, vol. 10, pp. 339-349. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271801000767>.
9. Pradhan A., Yadav P., Accelerated Lyra's Cosmology Driven by Electromagnetic Field in Inhomogeneous Universe. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2009, vol. 2009, no. 1, 471938. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2009/471938>.
10. Shchigolev V., On Exact Cosmological Models of a Scalar Field in Lyra Geometry. *Universal Journal of Physics and Application*, 2013, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 408-413. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujpa.2013.010406>.
11. Shchigolev V.K., Semenova E.A., Scalar Field Cosmology in Lyra's Geometry. *International Journal of Advanced Astronomy*, 2013, vol. 3, pp. 117-122.
12. Desikan K., Cosmological Models in Lyra Geometry with Time-varying Displacement Field. *The African Review of Physics*, 2020, vol. 15, pp. 32-34.
13. Singh A., Qualitative study of Lyra cosmologies with spatial curvature. *Chinese Journal of Physics*, 2022, vol. 79, pp. 481-489. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjph.2022.09.009>.
14. Beesham A., FLRW Cosmological Models in Lyra's Manifold with Time Dependent Displacement Field. *Australian Journal of Physics*, 1988, vol. 41, pp. 833-842.
15. Pradhan A., Shahi J.P. and Singh Ch.B., Cosmological Models of Universe with Variable Deceleration Parameter in Lyra's Manifold. *Brazilian Journal of Physics*, 2006, vol. 36, pp. 1227-1231. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.gr-qc/0608070>.
16. Singh C.P., Early Cosmological Models in Lyra's Geometry. *Astrophysics and Space Science*, 2001, vol. 275, pp. 377-383. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A1002708316446>.
17. Darabi F., Heydarzade Y. and Hajkarim F., Stability of Einstein Static Universe over Lyra Geometry. *Canadian Journal of Physics*, 2015, vol. 93, pp. 1566-1570. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1406.7636>.

18. Pontryagin L.S., Boltyanskii V.G., Gamkrelidze R.V. and Mishchenko E.F., *The Mathematical Theory of Control Processes*. Interscience, New York, 1962, p. 245.
19. Bellman R.E., *Dynamic Programming*. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., USA, 1957, p. 342.
20. Craven B.D., *Control and Optimization*. Chapman and Hall, London, 1995. ISBN-13:978-0387341606.
21. Naidu D.S., *Optimal Control Systems. Electrical Engineering Handbook*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781315214429>.
22. Ramadan M., Haggag S., Optimal Control Models of Einstein's Field Equations. *ERURJ*, 2023, vol. 2, no. 4, pp.616-630. <https://doi.org/10.21608/ERURJ.2023.233337.1074>.
23. Haggag S., Ramadan M., Applications of optimal control to general relativity. *Nonlinear World*, 2024, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 35-48. <https://doi.org/10.18127/j20700970-202403-05>.
24. Haggag S., Desokey F., Ramadan M., A Cosmological Inflationary Model Using Optimal Control. *Gravitation and Cosmology*, 2017, vol. 23, pp. 236-239. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0202289317030069>.

## Авторы

**Салах Хаггаг**, д.ф.-м.н., профессор, египетско-российский университет, Каир 11829, Египет.  
E-mail: salah-haggag@eru.edu.eg

**Мутаз Рамадан**, д.ф.-м.н., доцент, колледж естественных и гуманитарных наук, университет принца Саттама бин Абдул-Азиза, Эль-Хардж, Саудовская Аравия; университет Порт-Саида, Египет.  
E-mail: motaz\_ramadan@sci.psu.edu.eg

## Просьба ссылаться на эту статью следующим образом:

Хаггаг С., Рамадан М. Применение оптимального управления для построения космологических моделей в геометрии Лиры. *Пространство, время и фундаментальные взаимодействия*. 2025. № 2. С. 118–123.

## Authors

**Salah Haggag**, Ph.D., Professor, The Egyptian Russian University, Cairo 11829, Egypt.  
E-mail: salah-haggag@eru.edu.eg

**Moutaz Ramadan**, Ph.D., Assistant Professor, College of Sciences and Humanities, Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, Alkharj, Saudi Arabia; Port-Said University, Egypt.  
E-mail: motaz\_ramadan@sci.psu.edu.eg

## Please cite this article in English as:

Haggag S., Ramadan M. An Optimal Control Approach for Cosmological Models in Lyra's Geometry. *Space, Time and Fundamental Interactions*, 2025, no. 2, pp. 118–123.